Appl. No.: 10/019,795

Amendment Dated: June 16, 2008

Reply to Office Action of April 16, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 31, 11-13, 15-18 and 22 stand rejected under 112, second paragraph, Claims 11, 12,

13, 15, 16, 17 and 22 being rejected as being dependent on cancelled Claim 26, Claim 31 being

rejected for use of the term "polymeric oligomers" rather than "polymers/oligomers." By this

Amendment, Claims 11-13, 15-17 and 22 have been made dependent on Claim 30 and the term

"polymeric/oligomers" has been changed to "polymer/oligomer." Consistent with this change, the other occurrences of "polymers/oligomers" has been changed to "polymer/oligomer." The change is

merely to clarify that it is not necessary to have polymers as opposed to a polymer or oligomers as

as opposed to a polymer of ongomers as

opposed to an oligomer. It is respectfully submitted that the change does not introduce new matter or  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

raise new issues.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims

are in condition for allowance which is hereby earnestly solicited and respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

C. James Bushman

Reg. No. 24,810

Date: June 16, 2008

Date. June 10, 2008

BROWNING BUSHMAN P.C. 5718 Westheimer, Suite 1800

Houston, TX 77057-5771

Tel.: (713) 266-5593

Fax: (713) 266-5169

-5-